TC 24: MOVEMENT DISORDERS: Emerging diagnostic and treatment aspects in Parkinson's disease and related disorders

Recent challenges of medical treatment of PD

Eduard Auff

Department of Neurology Medical University of Vienna, Austria eduard.auff@meduniwien.ac.at



Major issues

- Control of motor symptoms monotherapy
- Control of motor symptoms as an adjunct to levodopa
- Treatment of motor complications:
 - For the treatment of motor fluctuations
 - For the treatment of dyskinesia
- Treatment of non-motor symptoms
- Prevention/delay of clinical progression

Major players in PD therapy

- Levodopa
- Dopamine agonists
- COMT inhibitors
- MAO-B inhibitors
- Anticholinergics
- Amantadine
- Others (e.g. clozapine, zonisamide)

TABLE 2. Conclusions on dopamine agonists (presented in alphabetical order)

Dopamine a	gonists	Prevention/delay of clinical progression	Symptomatic monotherapy	Symptomatic adjunct to levodopa	Prevention/delay of motor complications	Treatment of motor complications
			New york days	ante e constate		
Piribedil	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Nonergot dopa	Efficacious	Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (F. [
rinbeun	Safety	insumption evidence		ptable risk without spec		
	Practice	Investigational	Clinically useful	Clinically useful	Investigational (F, D)	Investigational (F, D)
	implications	invoolgational	onnouny usorui	Chinicany userui	invoorigational (1, D)	invooligational (1, D)
Description		tere whether the state of the	T (()		Efficiency (E. D)	CHI and and (D)
Pramipexole	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Efficacious	Efficacious	Efficacious (F, D)	Efficacious (F)
	Safety		Acco.	ptable risk without spec	violized monitoring	Insufficient evidence (D)
	Practice	Investigational	Clinically useful	Clinically useful	Clinically useful (F, D)	Clinically Useful (F)
	implications	investigational	cillically useful	Cillically useful	Chinically useful (F, D)	Cillically Osciul (F)
Pramipexole	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Efficacious		Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (F,
extended	Safety	laura di sadi sa st		ptable risk without spec		Inventional (F. D)
release	Practice	Investigational	Clinically useful	Investigational	Investigational (F, D)	Investigational (F, D)
	implications					
Ropinirole	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Efficacious	Efficacious	Insufficient evidence (F)	Efficacious (F)
					Efficacious (D)	Insufficient evidence (D)
	Safety			ptable risk without spec		
	Practice	Investigational	Clinically useful	Clinically useful	Investigational (F)	Clinically useful (F)
	implications				Clinically useful (D)	Investigational (D)
Ropinirole	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Likely efficacious	Efficacious	Insufficient evidence (F)	Efficacious (F)
prolonged					Efficacious (D)	Insufficient evidence (D)
release	Safety			ptable risk without spec		
	Practice	Investigational	Possibly useful	Clinically useful	Investigational (F)	Clinically useful (F)
	implications				Clinically useful (D)	Investigational (D)
Rotigotine	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Efficacious	Efficacious	Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Efficacious (F)
Ŭ					.,,,	Insufficient evidence (D)
	Safety		Acce	ptable risk without spec	cialized monitoring	
	Practice	Investigational	Clinically useful	Clinically useful	Investigational (F, D)	Clinically useful (F)
	implications					Investigational (D)
			Parenteral nonergot	t dopamine agonist		
Apomorphine	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence		Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Efficacious (F)
						Insufficient evidence (D)
	Safety	4	Acceptable risk withou	ut specialized monitoring	g when used as parenteral t	therapy.
	Practice	Investigational	Investigational	Clinically useful	Investigational (F, D)	Clinically useful (F)
	implications					Investigational (D)
			Ergot dopam	ine agonists		
Bromocriptine	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Likely efficacious			
·			LIKELY EIIIGACIOUS	Efficacious	Insufficient evidence (F)	Likely efficacious (F)
			Likely enicacious	Efficacious	Insufficient evidence (F) Likely efficacious (D)	Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D)
	Safety			Efficacious ceptable risk with specia	Likely efficacious (D)	
	Safety Practice	Investigational			Likely efficacious (D)	
		Investigational	Acc	ceptable risk with specia	Likely efficacious (D)	Insufficient evidence (D)
Cabercoline	Practice implications	Ũ	Acc Possibly useful	<i>ceptable risk with specia</i> Clinically useful	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D)
Cabergoline	Practice	Investigational Insufficient evidence	Acc	ceptable risk with specia	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F)
Cabergoline	Practice implications Efficacy	Ũ	Acc Possibly useful Efficacious	ceptable risk with specie Clinically useful Efficacious	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D)
Cabergoline	Practice implications	Ũ	Acc Possibly useful Efficacious	<i>ceptable risk with specia</i> Clinically useful	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F)
Cabergoline	Practice implications Efficacy Safety	Insufficient evidence	Acc Possibly useful Efficacious Acc	ceptable risk with specie Clinically useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specie	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D)
·	Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications	Insufficient evidence	Acc Possibly useful Efficacious Clinically useful	ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring Clinically useful (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D)
Cabergoline Dihydroergocryptine	Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Possibly useful Efficacious Clinically useful Efficacious	ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Insufficient evidence	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring Clinically useful (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D)
·	Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety	Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence	Possibly useful Acco Efficacious Clinically useful Acco Efficacious Acco	ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Insufficient evidence ceptable risk with specia	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring Clinically useful (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Insufficient evidence (F,
·	Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice	Insufficient evidence	Acco Possibly useful Efficacious Clinically useful Efficacious	ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Insufficient evidence	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring Clinically useful (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D)
Dihydroergocryptine	Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications	Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence Investigational	Possibly useful Efficacious Clinically useful Efficacious Clinically useful	Ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Efficacious Reptable risk with specia Clinically useful Insufficient evidence Reptable risk with specia Investigational	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring Clinically useful (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) Investigational (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Insufficient evidence (F, Investigational (F, D)
Dihydroergocryptine	Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy	Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence	Possibly useful Efficacious Clinically useful Efficacious Clinically useful Likely efficacious	ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Insufficient evidence ceptable risk with specia Investigational Likely efficacious	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring Clinically useful (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Insufficient evidence (F, Investigational (F, D)
Dihydroergocryptine	Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Safety	Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence	Possibly useful Efficacious Clinically useful Efficacious Clinically useful Likely efficacious Acco	ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Insufficient evidence ceptable risk with specia Investigational Likely efficacious ceptable risk with specia	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring Clinically useful (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Insufficient evidence (F, Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F,
Dihydroergocryptine	Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice Efficacy Safety Practice	Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence Investigational	Possibly useful Efficacious Clinically useful Efficacious Clinically useful Likely efficacious	ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Insufficient evidence ceptable risk with specia Investigational Likely efficacious ceptable risk with specia	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring Clinically useful (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Insufficient evidence (F, Investigational (F, D)
Dihydroergocryptine	Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice Efficacy Safety Practice implications	Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence Investigational	Acco Possibly useful Efficacious Clinically useful Efficacious Clinically useful Likely efficacious Acco Possibly useful	ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Insufficient evidence ceptable risk with specia Investigational Likely efficacious ceptable risk with specia Possibly useful	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring Clinically useful (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring Investigational (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Insufficient evidence (F, Investigational (F, D)
Dihydroergocryptine	Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice Efficacy Safety Practice	Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence	Possibly useful Efficacious Clinically useful Efficacious Clinically useful Likely efficacious Acco	ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Insufficient evidence ceptable risk with specia Investigational Likely efficacious ceptable risk with specia	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring Clinically useful (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Insufficient evidence (F, Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, Investigational (F, D)
Dihydroergocryptine	Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Efficacy	Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence Investigational	Possibly useful Efficacious Clinically useful Efficacious Clinically useful Likely efficacious Possibly useful Efficacious	ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Insufficient evidence ceptable risk with specia Investigational Likely efficacious ceptable risk with specia Possibly useful Efficacious	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring Clinically useful (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F) Likely efficacious (D)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Insufficient evidence (F, Investigational (F, D)
Dihydroergocryptine	Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Safety Safety Safety	Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence Investigational Unlikely efficacious	Possibly useful Efficacious Clinically useful Efficacious Clinically useful Likely efficacious Possibly useful Efficacious Acc Possibly useful Acc Acc Acc Acc Acc Acc Acc Ac	ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Insufficient evidence ceptable risk with specia Investigational Likely efficacious ceptable risk with specia Possibly useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specia	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring Clinically useful (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F) Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Insufficient evidence (F, Investigational (F, D) Efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D)
·	Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Safety Practice implications Efficacy Efficacy	Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence Investigational Insufficient evidence Investigational	Possibly useful Efficacious Clinically useful Efficacious Clinically useful Likely efficacious Possibly useful Efficacious	ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Efficacious ceptable risk with specia Clinically useful Insufficient evidence ceptable risk with specia Investigational Likely efficacious ceptable risk with specia Possibly useful Efficacious	Likely efficacious (D) alized monitoring Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D) Efficacious (F, D) alized monitoring Clinically useful (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F) Likely efficacious (D)	Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Likely efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D) Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D) Insufficient evidence (F, Investigational (F, D) Insufficient evidence (F, Investigational (F, D)

Treatments with new efficacy conclusions have gray backgrounds and italicized text, and those with no changes have white backgrounds. F, motor fluctuations; D, dyskinesia.

TABLE 3. Conclusions on levodopa

Levodo	opa	Prevention/delay of clinical progression	Symptomatic monotherapy	Symptomatic adjunct to levodopa	Prevention/delay of motor complications	Treatment of motor complications
Standard formulation	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Efficacious	N/A	Nonefficacious (F, D)	Efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D)
	Safety		Accept	table risk without specia	alized monitoring	
	Practice implications	Investigational	Clinically useful	N/A	Not useful (F, D)	Clinically useful (F) investigational(D)
Controlled-release formulation	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Efficacious	N/A	Nonefficacious (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (F, D)
	Safety		Accept	able risk without specia	alized monitoring	
	Practice implications	Investigational	Clinically useful	N/A	Not useful (F, D)	Investigational (F, D)
Rapid-onset oral formulation	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (F) Insufficient evidence (D)
	Safety	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring				
	Practice implications	Investigational	Investigational	Investigational	Investigational (F, D)	Investigational (F) Investigational (D)
Infusion formulations	Efficacy Safety	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence Accep	Insufficient evidence table risk without specia	Insufficient evidence (F, D) alized monitoring	Likely efficacious (F, D)
	Practice implications	Investigational	Investigational	Investigational	Investigational (F, D)	Investigational (F, D)

Treatments with new conclusions have gray backgrounds and italicized text, and those with no changes have white backgrounds. F, motor fluctuations; D, dyskinesia; N/A, not applicable.

COMT	inhibitors	Prevention/delay of clinical progression	Symptomatic monotherapy	Symptomatic adjunct to levodopa	Prevention/delay of motor complications	Treatment of motor complications
Entacapone	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	N/A	Efficacious ^a <i>Nonefficacious</i> ^b	Nonefficacious (F, D)	Efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D)
	Safety		A	Acceptable risk without spe	ecialized monitoring	
	Practice implications	Investigational	N/A	Clinically useful ^a Not useful ^b	Not useful (F, D)	Clinically useful (F) Investigational (D)
Tolcapone	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	N/A	Efficacious	Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D)
	Safety			Acceptable risk with spec	ialized monitoring	
	Practice implications	Investigational	N/A	Possibly useful	Investigational (F, D)	Possibly useful (F) Investigational (D)

TABLE 4. Conclusions on COMT inhibitors

^aIn PD subjects with motor complications;

^bin PD subjects with respect to motor function in nonfluctuating patients; both without prior use of levodopa or already on levodopa. Treatments with new conclusions have gray backgrounds and italicized text, and those with no changes have white backgrounds. F, motor fluctuations; D, dyskinesia; N/A, not applicable.

MAO-B i	nhibitors	Prevention/delay of clinical progression	Symptomatic monotherapy	Symptomatic adjunct to levodopa	Prevention/delay of motor complications	Treatment of motor complications
Selegiline	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Efficacious	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence (F) Nonefficacious (D)	Insufficient evidence (F, D)
	Safety		Acce	ptable risk without spec	cialized monitoring	
	Practice implications	Investigational	Clinically useful	investigational	Investigational (F) Not useful (D)	Investigational (F, D)
Oral disintegrating selegiline	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (F, D)
	Safety		Acce	ptable risk without spec	cialized monitoring	
	Practice implications	Investigational	Investigational	, Investigational	Investigational (F, D)	Investigational (F, D)
Rasagiline	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Efficacious	Efficacious	Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Efficacious (F) Insufficient evidence (D)
	Safety		Acce	ptable risk without spec	cialized monitoring	
	Practice implications	Investigational	Clinically useful	Clinically useful	Investigational (F, D)	Clinically useful (F) Investigational (D)

TABLE 5. Conclusions on MAO-B inhibitors

Treatments with new conclusions have gray backgrounds and italicized text, and those with no changes have white backgrounds. F, motor fluctuations; D, dyskinesia.

Dr	g	Prevention of clinical progression	Symptomatic monotherapy	Symptomatic adjunct to levodopa	Prevention of motor complications	Treatment of motor complications
Anticholinergics	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Likely efficacious	Likely efficacious	Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (F, D)
	Safety		Acce	ptable risk without spec	cialized monitoring	
	Practice implications	Investigational	Clinically useful	Clinically useful	Investigational (F, D)	Investigational (F, D)
Amantadine	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Likely efficacious	Likely efficacious	Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (F) Efficacious (D)
	Safety		Acce	ptable risk without spec	cialized monitoring	
	Practice implications	Investigational	Possibly useful	Possibly useful	Investigational (F, D)	Investigational (F) Clinically useful (D)
Clozapine	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (F) Efficacious (D)
	Safety		Acc	eptable risk with specia	alized monitoring	
	Practice implications	Investigational	Investigational	Investigational	Investigational (F, D)	Investigational (F) Possibly useful (D)
Zonisamide	Efficacy Safety	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence Acce	Efficacious ptable risk without spec	Insufficient evidence (F, D) cialized monitoring	Insufficient evidence (F, D)
	Practice implications	Investigational	Investigational	Clinically useful	Investigational (F, D)	Investigational (F, D)

TABLE 6. Conclusions on anticholinergics, amantadine, clozapine, and zonisamide

Treatments with new conclusions have gray backgrounds and italicized text, and those with no changes have white backgrounds. F, motor fluctuations; D, dyskinesia.

Thera	ару	Prevention of clinical progression	Symptomatic monotherapy	Symptomatic adjunct to levodopa	Prevention of motor complications	Treatment of motor complications
Physical therapy	Efficacy Safety	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence Acce	<i>Likely efficacious</i> otable risk without spec	Insufficient evidence (F, D) ialized monitoring	Insufficient evidence (F, D)
	Practice implications	Investigational	Investigational	Possibly useful	Investigational (F, D)	Investigational (F, D)
Speech therapy	Efficacy Safety	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence Acce	Insufficient evidence stable risk without spec	Insufficient (F, D) data ialized monitoring	Insufficient evidence (F, D)
	Practice implications	Investigational	Investigational	Possibly useful	Investigational (F, D)	Investigational (F, D)
Occupational therapy	Efficacy	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence (F, D)	Insufficient evidence (F, D)
	Safety		Acce	otable risk without spec	ialized monitoring	
	Practice implications	Investigational	Investigational	Possibly useful	Investigational (F, D)	Investigational (F, D)
Acupuncture	Efficacy Safety	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence Acce	Insufficient evidence ptable risk without spec	Insufficient evidence (F, D) cialized monitoring	Insufficient evidence (F, D)
	Practice implications	Investigational	Investigational	Investigational	Investigational (F, D)	Investigational (F, D)

TABLE 8. Conclusions on nonpharmacological treatments

Treatments with new conclusions have gray backgrounds and italicized text, and those with no changes have white backgrounds. F, motor fluctuations; D, dyskinesia.

Control of motor symptoms – monotherapy/1

- Efficacious
 - Piribedil, pramipexole, pramipexole ER, ropinirole, rotigotine, cabergoline, dihydroergocryptine, pergolide
 - Standard and controlled released (CR) formulations of levodopa
 - Selegiline, rasagilin

Control of motor symptoms – monotherapy/2

- Likely efficacious
 - Ropinirole PR, bromocriptine, lisuride
 - Anticholinergics
 - Amantadine
- Insufficient evidence
 - Rapid onset oral formulations, infusion formulation of levodopa
 - Orally disintegrating selegiline
 - All other

Control of motor symptoms as an adjunct to levodopa

- Efficacious
 - the dopamine agonists piribedil, pramipexole, pramipexole PR, ropinirole, rotigotine, apomorphine, bromocriptine, cabergoline, pergolide
 - Tolcapone, rasagiline, zonisamide
 - Entacapone (only in PD patients with motor fluctuations
- Likely efficacious
 - Lisuride, anticholinergics, amantadine

Treatment of motor complications/1 motor fluctuations

- Efficacious
 - pramipexole, ropinirole, ropinirole ER, rotigotine, apomorphine, pergolide, standard oral levodopa, entacapone, tolcapone, rasagiline
- Likely efficacious
 - Bromocriptine, cabergoline, infusion formulations of levodopa
- Insufficient evidence

For all other interventions

(including piribedil, pramipexole ER, dihydroergocryptine, lisuride, rapid onset oral levodopa, CR levodopa, selegiline, oral disintegrating selegiline, zonisamide)

Treatment of motor complications/2 dyskinesias

- Efficacious
 - Clozapine
 - Amantadine
 - (DBS)
- Likely efficacious
 - Infusion formulation of levodopa
- Insufficient evidence
 - For other therapies

Non-motor symptoms in PD

- Depression, mood disorders, anxiety disorders, apathy, and fatigue
- Cognitive dysfunction and dementia
- Psychosis
- Medication-related impulse controls disorders and other compulsive behaviors
- Autonomic dysfunction
 - Orthostatic hypotension
 - Sexual dysfunction
 - Gastrointestinal dysfunction
 - Sialorrhea
 - Sweating
- Disorders of sleep and wakefulness
 - RBD
 - Sleep fragmentation and insomnia
- Daytime Sleepiness and sudden onset of sleep

	Efficacy	Safety	Practice implication
Dopamine agonists			
Pramipexole Pergolide	Efficacious Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring Acceptable risk with specialized monitoring	Clinically useful Not useful
TCA			
Nortriptyline Desipramine Amitriptyline	Likely efficacious Likely efficacious Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Possibly useful Possibly useful Investigational ^b
SSRIs			
Citalopram Sertraline Paroxetine Fluoxetine	Insufficient evidence Insufficient evidence Insufficient evidence Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Investigational ^b Investigational ^b Investigational ^b Investigational ^b
MAO-Inhibitors			
Moclobernide Selegeline Newer antidepressants	Insufficient evidence Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence ^c Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Investigational ^d Investigational
Atomoxetine Nefazodone	Insufficient evidence Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring Unacceptable risk	Investigational Not useful
Alternative therapies			
Ω -3 fatty acids	Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Investigational
Nonpharmacological interventions			
rTMS	Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Investigational
ECT	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational

TABLE 3. Conclusions on drugs to treat depression including depressive symptoms^a in PD

TABLE 4. Conclusions on drugs to treat fatigue in PD

	Efficacy	Safety	Practice implications
Methylphenidate Modafinil	Insufficient evidence Insufficient	Insufficient evidence Insufficient	Investigational Investigational
	evidence	evidence	J. J

TABLE 5. Conclusions on drugs to treat pathological gambling in PD

	Efficacy	Safety	Practice implications
Amantadine	Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Investigational

TABLE 6. Conclusions	on	drugs	to	treat	dementia	in	PD)
----------------------	----	-------	----	-------	----------	----	----	---

	Efficacy	Safety	Practice implications
Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors			
Donepezil	Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Investigational
Rivastigmine	Efficacious	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Clinically useful
Galantamine	Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Investigational
NMDA Receptor Antagonists		, , , ,	Ū Ū
Memantine	Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Investigational
			•

TABLE 7. Conclusions on drugs to treat psychosis in PD

	Efficacy	Safety	Practice implications
Clozapine Olanzapine	Efficacious Unlikely efficacious	Acceptable risk with specialized monitoring Unacceptable risk	Clinically useful Not useful
Quetiapine	Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Investigational

	Efficacy	Safety	Practice implication
Orthostatic hypotension			
Fludrocortisone	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Domperidone	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Midodrin	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Dihydroergotamine	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Etilefrine hydrochloride	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Indomethacine	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Yohimbine	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
L-threo-3.4-dihydroxyphenylserine	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Sexual dysfunction			
Sildenafil	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Gastrointestinal motility problems (Co			
Macrogol	Likely efficacious	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Possibly useful
Gastrointestinal motility problems (An		associated with levodopa and/or dopamine agonia	
Domperiodone	Likely efficacious	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Possibly useful
Metoclopramide	Insufficient evidence	Unacceptable risk	Not useful
Sialorrhea			
Ipratropium bromide spray	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Glycopyrrolate	<i>Efficacious</i> ^a	Insufficient evidence	Possibly useful
Botulinum toxin B	Efficacious	Acceptable risk with specialized monitoring	Clinically useful
Botulinum toxin A	Efficacious	Acceptable risk with specialized monitoring	Clinically useful
Urinary frequency, urgency, and/or urg			
Oxybutynin	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Tolteradine	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Flavoxate	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Propiverine	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Prazosin	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Desmopressin	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational

TABLE 8. Conclusions on drugs to treat autonomic dysfunction in PD

TABLE 9. Conclusions on drugs to treat disorders of sleep and wakefulness in PD

	Efficacy	Safety	Practice implications
Insomnia			
Controlled-release formulation of levodopa/carbidopa	Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Investigational
Pergolide	Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk with specialized monitoring	Not useful
Eszopiclone	Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Investigational
Melatonin 3–5 mg	Insufficient evidence	Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring	Investigational
Melatonin 50 mg	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational
Excessive daytime somnolence and t	he sudden onset of sleep		
Modafinil	Insufficient evidence	Insufficient evidence	Investigational

Prevention/delay of clinical progression

- Unlikely efficacious:
 Pergolide
- Insufficient evidence:

Any other treatment

Study	Drug	Mechanism of Action	Trial Design	Subjects	Follow-up Period	Primary Outcome Measure(s)	Results
Olanow et al., 2015 ⁶	AAV2-Neuturin (injection into bilateral SNpc and putamen)	Neurotrophic factor	Multi-center, randomized, double- blind, sham surgery- controlled, phase 2 trial	Advanced PD subjects (n = 51)	15-24 months	Change in UPDRS part 3 in practically defined "off"-state	No statistically significant difference between treated and control groups
PSG et al., 2014 (QE3) ⁸	Coenzyme Q10 (1200 mg/d or 2400 mg/d) + vitamin E (1200 IU/d)	Bioenergetic; Antioxidant	Multi-center, randomized, double- blind, placebo- controlled, phase 3 trial	Early PD subjects not requiring dopaminergic therapy (n = 600)	16 months (or until requiring dopaminergic therapy if sooner)	Change in total UPDRS score	Prematurely terminated due to futility
NET-PD et al., 2015 (LS1) ⁹	Creatine (10 g/d)	Bioenergetic	Multi-center, randomized, double- blind, placebo- controlled, phase 3 trial	Early PD subjects receiving dopaminergic therapy (n = 1741)	4 years (median)	Difference in decline of clinical status defined by 5 outcome measures	Prematurely terminated due to futility
Schapira et al., 2013 (PROUD) ¹³	Pramipexole (1.5 mg/day)	D2/D3 dopamine receptor agonist	Multi-center, randomized, double- blind, placebo- controlled, delayed- start trial	Early PD subjects not requiring dopaminergic therapy (n = 535)	15 months	Change in total UPDRS score	No statistically significant difference between early-start and delayed-start groups
NET-PD, 2015 (FS-ZONE) ¹⁵	Pioglitazone (15 mg/d or 45 mg/d)	PPAR-γ agonist	Multi-center, randomized, double- blind, placebo-con- trolled, futility trial	Early PD subjects on rasagiline or selegiline (n = 210)	44 weeks	Change in total UPDRS score	Futility

TABLE 1. Failed clinical trials of disease-modifying therapies for PD from 2013 to 2015

Abbreviations: AAV2, adeno-associated virus serotype 2; LS1, Long-term Study 1; PD, Parkinson's disease; PPAR, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; PROUD, Pramipexole On Underlying Disease; QE3, Coenzyme Q10 in Early Parkinson Disease; SNpc, substantia nigra pars compacta; UPDRS, Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale.

Ongoing clinical trials of disease-modifying therapies for PD in 2015

Study	Drug	Mechanism of Action	Trial Design	Estimated Enrollment	Follow-up Period	Primary Outcome Measure(s)	Status
NCT02216188	PD01A + adjuvant (subcutaneous injection; 15µg or 75µg booster x 1)	Active immunization against α-synuclein	Single-center (Austria), randomized, single- blind, follow-up, phase 1 trial	PD subjects who previously received PD01A and untreated controls (n = 32)	6 months	Safety and tolerability	Enrolling by invitation
NCT01885494	PD01A + adjuvant (subcutaneous injection; 15 μ g or 75 μ g \times 4)	Active immunization against α-synuclein	Single-center (Austria), observational, follow-up, phase 1 extension trial	PD subjects who previously received PD01A and untreated controls (n = 32)	52 weeks	Safety and tolerability	Active but not recruiting
NCT02267434	PD03A + adjuvant (subcutaneous injection; 15 μg or 75 μg × 4)	Active immunization against α-synuclein	Dual-center (Austria), randomized, single- blind, placebo- controlled, phase 1 trial	Early PD subjects (n = 36)	52 weeks	Safety and tolerability	Recruiting
NCT02157714	PRX002 (intravenous infusion)	Passive immunization against α-synuclein	Multi-center (United States), randomized, double-blind, placebo- controlled, phase 1 trial	PD subjects (n = 60)	6 months	Safety and tolerability; several pharmacokinetic parameters	Recruiting
NCT01738178	Caffeine (400 mg/d)	Nonspecific adenosine receptor antagonist	Multi-center (Canada, Brazil), randomized, double-blind, placebo- controlled, phase 3 trial with delayed-start component	PD subjects (n = 250)	5 years	MDS-UPDRS score	Recruiting
NCT01621581	AAV2-GDNF (convection enhanced delivery to bilateral putamen)	Neurotrophic factor	Single-center (United States), open-label, phase 1 trial	Advanced PD subjects (n = 24)	5 years	Safety and tolerability; several clinical measures	Recruiting

Ongoing clinical trials of disease-modifying therapies for PD in 2015 (contd.)

NCT02168842 (STEADY-PD III)	Isradipine (immediate release; 10 mg/d)	Dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker	Multi-center trial (United States, Canada), randomized, double- blind, placebo- controlled, phase 3 trial	Early PD subjects not requiring dopaminergic therapy (n = 336)	36 months	Change in total UPDRS score	Recruiting
NCT01560754 (NIC-PD)	Nicotine (transdermal patch; 7-28 mg/d)	Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor agonist	Multi-center (Germany, United States), randomized, double- blind, placebo- controlled, phase 2 trial with washout period	Early PD subjects not requiring dopaminergic therapy (n = 160)	12 months followed by 2-month washout period	Change in total UPDRS score	Recruiting
NCT02424708	GSH (intranasal; 300 mg/d or 600 mg/d)	Antioxidant	Dual-center (United States), randomized, double-blind, placebo- controlled, phase 2 trial	PD subjects $(n = 45)$	12 weeks	Change in total UPDRS score	Recruiting
NCT01470027	N-acetylcysteine (1800 mg/d or 3600 mg/d)	GSH precursor	Single-center (United States), randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1/2 trial	PD subjects on no medications for PD (n = 60)	4 weeks	Change in cerebral GSH levels measured by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy	Recruiting
NCT01882010	Sagramostim (subcutaneous injection; 6 µg/kg/d)	GM-CSF	Dual-center (United States), randomized, double-blind, placebo- controlled phase 1 trial	PD subjects and non-PD controls (n = 32)	52 weeks	Safety and tolerability	Recruiting
NCT01453803	Adipose-derived stromal stem cells (intraarterial and intravenous infusion)	Multiple	Single-center (Mexico), open-label, phase 1/2 trial	PD subjects with motor complications (n = 10)	6 months	Safety and tolerability; UPDRS scores	Recruiting

Abbreviations: AAV2, adeno-associated virus serotype 2; GDNF, glial cell-line derived neurotrophic factor; GM-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colonystimulating factor; GSH, glutathione; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society-sponsored revision of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; PD, Parkinson's disease; UPDRS, Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale.

Levodopa-based approaches in development

	DRUG	MECHANISM	TRIAL STATUS
NOVEL LD FORMULATION	IPX 066	LD-ER	Phase 3, completed
	XP21279	ER LD-prodrug	Phase 2, ongoing
	AP CD/LD	prolonged gastric retention	Phase 2
	DM-1992	Combined IR/ER gastric retention	Phase 2
COMT-INHIBITORS	Opicapone	COMT-inhibition	Phase 3, ongoing
	0DM-101	novel LD/CD/ENT combination	Phase 2, ongoing
LD DELIVERY	LD/CD intestinal gel	cont. jejunal delivery	Phase 3, completed
	ND0612/0650	s.c. LD/CD delivery	Phase 1/2, ongoing
	CVT-301	LD inhaler	Phase 3 planned

R = immediate relase CR = controlled release LD = levodopa CD=carbidopa ENT = entacapone

REVIEW

Novel Formulations and Modes of Delivery of Levodopa

Poewe & Antonini, Mov. Disord. 2015

Non-dopamine targets and candidate drugs



Rascol et al., Mov Disord 2011

New drugs or formulations for the treatment of motor complications 2013-2015

Drug and formulation	New studies in the period 2013-2015	Main results	Safety	Development/ marketing status		
New formulations of levodopa						
Continuous intrajejunal infusion of levodopa- carbidopa intestinal gel	1 R, DB, DD trial vs levodopa IR ¹²	Reduced daily OFF-time, increased "good" ON-time	Related to the device or infusion	Commercialized in USA and Europe		
IPX066	1 R, DB, DD vs levodopa IR ²⁰ 1 R, DB, CO, DD trial vs entacapone ²¹	Reduced daily OFF-time, increased "good" ON-time	Same as ∟-dopa IR	Commercialized in USA		
XP21279	1 R, DB, DD, CO vs levodopa IR ²⁵	No effects on OFF-time, reduced percentage deviation from the mean L-dopa concentration	Same as ∟-dopa IR	In Phase II		
Melevodopa	1 OL, CS, vs levodopa IR ²⁶	Shorter onset of motor benefit after an oral dose	Same as ∟-dopa IR	In Phase II		
New COMT or MAO-B inhibit	tors					
Opicapone	2 R, DB vs placebo or entacapone ^{32,33}	Increased L-dopa exposure, reduced off-time	Dyskinesia, insomnia, dizziness, nausea	In Phase III		
Safinamide	1 R, DB vs placebo ³⁸	Increased "good" ON-time	Dyskinesia, worsening of PD, cataract, back pain, depression, headache, and hypertension	Commercialized in Europe. NDA submitted to FDA		
New formulation of apomor						
Inhaled apomorphine	3 R, DB, vs placebo ⁴²⁻⁴⁴	Greater motor improvements after a single dose	Somnolence, yawning, flushing, dysgeusia, dizziness, orthostatic hypotension	In Phase III		

New drugs or formulations for the treatment of motor complications 2013-2015 (contd.)

New formulation of amanta	dine			
Extended-release amantadine	1 R, DB vs placebo ⁵⁶	Reduced dyskinesia frequency/severity	Constipation, hallucinations, dizziness, dry mouth	In Phase III
New A2A antagonists				
Istradefylline	1 R, DB vs placebo47	Reduced OFF-time	Dyskinesia	Marketed in Japan and USA
Tozadenant	1 R, DB vs placebo ⁶⁴	Reduced daily OFF-time	Dyskinesia, nausea, dizziness	In Phase III
Caffeine	1 Exploratory cohort study ⁶³	Less frequent dyskinesia in consumers of 12 oz/d	_	Worldwide available in supermarket
New glutamatergic antagoni	ists			
Mavoglurant	1 R, DB vs placebo ⁵⁷ 1 R, DB vs placebo ⁵⁸	Reduced dyskinesia frequency/severity, NS reduction in OFF-time	Dizziness, hallucination, fatigue, nasopharyngitis, diarrhea, insomnia	In Phase III
New serotoninergic drugs				
Eltoprazine	1 R, DB vs placebo ⁶²	Reduction of dyskinesia frequency/severity	Nausea, dizziness	In Phase III
Other drugs				
Tetrabenazine	1 OL, UC ⁶⁵	Reduced dyskinesia frequency/severity	_	Available worldwide for hyperkinetic disorders
Simvastatin	1 n-of-1 trial ⁶⁶	No effects on dyskinesia	—	Available worldwide for hypercholesterolemia
Topiramate	1 R, DB, CO vs placebo ⁶⁷	No effects on dyskinesia	Dry mouth, cognitive, breathing problems	Available worldwide for epilepsy

References

Fox et al, Mov Disord 2011; 26/S3:S2 Seppi et al, Mov Disord 2011; 26/S3:S42 Poewe & Antonini, Mov Disord 2015; 30:114 Kalia et al, Mov Disord 2015; 30:1442 Rascol et al, Mov Disord 2015; 30:1451 Goldman & Weintraub, Mov Disord 2015; 30:1471