

Santiago, Chile, October 31 - November 5, 2015



### **Teaching Course 12 : Neuropathic Pain-advice for clinical practice.**





Santiago, Chile, October 31 - November 5, 2015





### **Evidence-based pharmacological treatments**

#### Pr. Daniel Ciampi de Andrade

Centro de Dor, Departamento de Neurologia, Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil Instituto do Câncer do Estado de São Paulo, Brasil

ciampi@usp.br



Santiago, Chile, October 31 - November 5, 2015 2015



### **Disclosure Slide**

1. I participated in board meetings for Pfizer, Grunenthal, Medtronic, Mundipharma.

WCN

2. I have been involved in "investigator initiated research" trials with Pfizer, Mundipharma, Meizler-UCI, and Saint-Jude Medical.







### Learning objectives

During this presentation, the following topics will covered:

- 1. The role of pharmacological interventions in the treatment of NeP;
- 2. The basic pharmacological properties of the main drugs used to treat NeP;

3. The actual efficacy of drugs used to treat NeP and their propensity to cause adverse events

4. The basis of combination therapy for NeP;

5. The limitations of the current evidence-based treatments for NeP and the rationale of mechanism-based approach to NeP.





### **Treatment of Neuropathic Pain**







### **Treatment of Neuropathic Pain**



### ATTENTION:

Evidence-based treatment vs. individualized treatment Positive evidence  $\neq$  negative evidence  $\neq$  insufficient evidence



# The size of the problem - Pharmacological treatment of NeP



Response has been defined as a 30-50% decrease in pain intensity compared to placebo

55% of trials were performed in DPP and PHN

Very low number of studies were performed in other etiologies of NeP such as central pain, HIV associated pain, chemo-associated NeP

Up to 40% of patients with neuropathic pain (NeP) are pharmaco-resistant [Hansson 2009].

Most studies assessed the effects of drugs as monotherapy. [Finnerup et al., 2015]

Combination treatment has been assessed in 11% of the trials.

There is no correlation between etiology of neuropathic pain and its symptoms (mechanisms) or treatment response. [Attal 2008]



Santiago, Chile, October 31 - November 5, 2015

WCN

2015

1. α2δ - ligants ("gabapentinoids")

Pregabalin [150-600 mg/d (2xday)]

+Acts on a subunit of primary afferent Ca channel decreasing neuronal hyper sensitivity and increases the action of descending noradrenergic inhibitory control; +Not metabolized
+Excretion in urine (97%)
+Time to analgesia onset: days
+Main adverse events: dizziness, leg edema, mental changes, weight gain
+Serious adverse events: skin reactions
+Contraindications: none
+Pregnancy C

Gabapentin [900-3600 mg/d (3xday)]

+Acts on a subunit of primary afferent Ca channel decreasing neuronal hyper sensitivity and increases the action of descending noradrenergic inhibitory control;

+Not metabolized

+Excretion in urine (97%)

+Time to analgesia onset: days

+Main adverse events: dizziness, leg edema, mental changes, weight gain +Serious adverse events: skin reactions

+Contraindications: none

+ Pregnancy C







**WCN** 2015

# 2. Serotonin and Noradrenalin Reuptake Inhibitors (SNRI's)

### Duloxetine

[60-120 mg/d (once a day)]

+Acts increasing the availability of NE and 5-HT increasing descending inhibitory control;

+Metabolized by CYP-2D6

+Time to analgesia onset: weeks

+Main adverse events: dizziness, nausea, sweating, sexual dysfunction mental changes, weight loss.

+Excretion: urine 70%

+ Contraindications: hepatic or renal failure

+ Pregnancy C

### Venlafaxine

[150-225 mg/d (once a day)]

+Acts on a subunit of primary afferent Ca channel decreasing neuronal hyper sensitivity and increases the action of descending noradrenergic inhibitory control;

+Excretion: urine 87%

+Metabolized by CYP-2D6

+Time to analgesia onset: weeks

+main adverse events: dizziness, nausea, sweating, sexual dysfunction mental changes, weight loss, hypertension

+Contraindications: hepatic or renal failure

+ Pregnancy C









3. Tricyclics Antidepressants (TCA's)

#### Amtriptyline, Nortriptyline, Imipramine, Chlomipramine, Maprotiline [25-150 mg/d (once a day)]

+Act increasing the availability of NE and 5-HT increasing descending inhibitory control; + Anticholinergic, antihistaminergic, Na channel blocker +Metabolized by CYP-1A2, 3A4, 2D6 +time to analgesia onset: weeks +main adverse events: wight gain, somnolence, dizziness, orthostatic hypotension, xerostomia, constipation +Excretion: urine 70%

- + Contraindications: acute MI, narrow angle glaucoma, AV-block
- + Pregnancy C-D







### 4. Opioids

Oxycodone [20-120 mg/d (2-3xday)] Tramadol [150-400 mg/d (3xday)] Morphine [30-... mg/d (4xday)] Metadone [10-480 mg/d (2-3xday)]

+Acts on descending inhibitory control; acts on pre- and post-synaptic neurons, acts centrally in cortical areas with high concentration of opioid receptors (ACC, Insula, Amygdala)
+<u>Not metabolized</u>
+<u>Excretion in urine (97%)</u>
+Time to analgesia onset: hours
+Main adverse events: dizziness, mental changes, constipation
+Serious adverse events: respiratory depression, urinary retention, tolerance, abuse

+Pregnancy C









#### 5. Other drugs

Botulinum Toxin A [100-200 UI every 3 months Lidocaine 5% patch [up to 3 patches up to 12 hours a day] Capsaicin 8% patch [1-4 patches every 3 months]

Lamotrigine [200-400mg/d] Carbamazepine [400-1200mg/d] Oxcarbazepine [600-1800mg/d]









### Main drugs for NeP and evidence available

NNT - Evidence based treatment for Neuropathic Pain





adapted from Finnerup et al., 2015



## Main drugs for NeP and evidence available



Since a sector realment for real optimic name

TCA's

Number Necessary to Harm (NNH)

15,6

10,4

5,2

0

NNH - Evidence based treatment for Neuropathic Pain

adapted from Finnerup et al., 2015

26

20,8





### **Evidence-based Recommendations**

	First line	
Pregabalin/Gabapentin TCA's SNRI's		

#### Second line

Capsaicin 8% (Peripheral NeP) Lidocaine patch (Peripheral NeP) Tramadol

#### Third line

Strong opioids Botulinum toxin (Peripheral NeP)



### **Evidence-based Recommendations**



### First line Pregabalin/Gabapentin TCA's SNRI's

#### Special situations

CENTRAL POST-STROKE PAIN - TCA's, duloxetine, lamotrigine

SPINAL CORD INJURY - TCA's, lamotrigine,

HIV ASSOCIATED POLYNEUROPATHY -lamotrigine, cannabis

TRIGEMINAL NEURALGIA carbamazepine, baclophen

#### Second line

Capsaicin 8% (Peripheral NeP) Lidocaine patch (Peripheral NeP) Tramadol

#### Third line

Strong opioids Botulinum toxin (Peripheral NeP)



### Other drugs...



Combination therapy Inconclusive evidence or recommendation. Capsaicin cream Carbamazepine ?? **Clonidine topical** Lamotrigine Lacosamide NMDA antagonists tapentadol SSRI's Topiramate Zonisamide Weak recommendation AGAINST use Cannabinoids Valproate Strong recommendation AGAINST use Levetiracetam

adapted from Finnerup et al., 2015

Mexiletine



# Reasons why evidence based treatment seems so ineffective



- 1. Most studies were performed in patients with a single etiology of NeP (but with different mechanisms: eg, PHN);
- 2. In many studies: fixed dose regimen for each arm of the study;

Santiago, Chile, October 31 - November 5, 2015

3. High placebo effect;

4. Several drugs have not been included in larger trials (methadone, chlorpromazine, other topic agents)

5. Most studies (>90%) assessed the effect of a single drug;

Can we do any better?



- 1. At least half of chronic pain patients receive two or more drugs
- 2. Drug combination for Neuropathic pain [Chaparro et al,., 2012; Gilron 2013]

### Main combinations [total of 21 RCT trials]



**NCN** 

2015















1.

0-



Imipramine and pregabalin combination for painful polyneuropathy: a randomized controlled trial

Jakob V. Holbech<sup>a,\*</sup>, Flemming W. Bach<sup>b</sup>, Nanna B. Finnerup<sup>c</sup>, Kim Brøsen<sup>d</sup>, Troels S. Jensen<sup>c</sup>, Søren H. Sindrup<sup>a</sup>



Baseline Nortriptyline Gabapentin Combination

Figure 2. Change in total pain scores from baseline (0) to week 5 for the 4 different treatments. Placebo ( $\bullet$ ), pregabalin ( $\blacktriangle$ ), imipramine ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and combination ( $\bullet$ ). \*Significantly different from placebo. †Significantly different from each monotherapy.





Duloxetine and pregabalin: High-dose monotherapy or their combination? The "COMBO-DN study" – a multinational, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group study in patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain

Solomon Tesfaye <sup>a,</sup> Stefan Wilhelm<sup>b</sup>, Alberto Lledo<sup>c</sup>, Alexander Schacht<sup>d</sup>, Thomas Tölle<sup>e</sup>, Didier Bouhassira<sup>†</sup>, Giorgio Cruccu<sup>9</sup>, Vladimir Skljarevski<sup>h</sup>, Rainer Freynhagen<sup>i</sup>

D. Bouhassira et al. / PAIN® 155 (2014) 2171-2179











PAIN<sup>®</sup> 155 (2014) 2171-2179



www.elsevier.com/locate/pair

CrossMark

Neuropathic pain phenotyping as a predictor of treatment response in painful diabetic neuropathy: Data from the randomized, double-blind, COMBO-DN study

Didier Bouhassira<sup>a</sup>, Stefan Wilhelm<sup>b,\*</sup>, Alexander Schacht<sup>c</sup>, Serge Perrot<sup>d</sup>, Eva Kosek<sup>e</sup>, Giorgio Cruccu<sup>f</sup>, Rainer Freynhagen<sup>g</sup>, Solomon Tesfaye<sup>h</sup>, Alberto Lledó<sup>i</sup>, Ernest Choy<sup>j</sup>, Paolo Marchettini<sup>k</sup>, Juan Antonio Micó<sup>1</sup>, Michael Spaeth<sup>m</sup>, Vladimir Skljarevski<sup>n</sup>, Thomas Tölle<sup>o</sup>

..in patients not responding to initial 60 mg/d duloxetine, adding 300 mg/d pregabalin for combination treatment was particularly effective regarding the dimensions pressing pain and evoked pain.

...whereas maximizing the duloxetine dose to 120 mg/d appeared more beneficial regarding <u>paresthesia/</u> <u>dysesthesia.</u>

### 3 clusters of symptoms







#### WCN 2015

# Mechanism- (symptom-) based approach to NeP

- 1. Response to neuropathic pain treatment does not correlate with specific etiologies of neuropathy;
- 2. Within a given etiology, multiple neuropathic pain symptoms (signs and symptoms) may coexist;
- 3. This may explain negative results in different drug trials where a <u>single drug</u> was used to control NeP of <u>different</u> <u>symptoms</u> (mechanisms) due to a <u>single etiology</u> *e.g: post-herpetic neuralgia, NeP due to painful diabetic polyneuropathy.*





## Mechanism- (symptom-) based approach to NeP

1. Response to neuropathic pain treatment does not correlate with specific etiologies of neuropathy;

WCN

2015

- 2. Within a given etiology, multiple neuropathic pain symptoms (signs and symptoms) may coexist;
- 3. This may explain negative results in different drug trials where a <u>single drug</u> was used to control NeP of <u>different</u> <u>symptoms</u> (mechanisms) due to a <u>single etiology</u> *e.g: post-herpetic neuralgia, NeP due to painful diabetic polyneuropathy.*





Santiago, Chile, October 31 - November 5, 2015

# Mechanism- (symptom-) based approach to NeP

### 1. Different signs and symptoms in NeP



AUCOR LAGO

adapted from Bouhassira 2008





Santiago, Chile, October 31 - November 5, 2015



## Mechanism- (symptom-) based approach to NeP





XXII World Congress of Neurology

antiago - Chile 2015

1. Data from post-hoc analyses: treatment response seem to depend on certain signs and symptoms and NOT on the etiology of NeP



Preserved nociceptive function seems associated with better response to BTX-A

#### Potential responders profiles : patients with evoked pain (allodynia or hyperalgesia)









www.elsevier.com/locate/pain



#### 1. First PROSPECTIVE studies testing the mechanism based approach



The effect of oxcarbazepine in peripheral neuropathic pain depends on pain phenotype: A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phenotype-stratified study

ASP

Dyveke T. Demant<sup>a</sup>, Karen Lund<sup>b</sup>, Jan Vollert<sup>c</sup>, Christoph Maier<sup>c</sup>, Märtha Segerdahl<sup>d,e</sup>, Nanna B. Finnerup<sup>b</sup>, Troels S. Jensen<sup>b</sup>, Søren H. Sindrup<sup>a,\*</sup>

PAIN Publish Ahead of Print DOI: 10.1097/j.pain.00000000000266

Pain relief with lidocaine 5% patch in localized peripheral neuropathic pain in relation to pain phenotype

A randomised, double-blind, and placebo-controlled phenotype panel study.

<sup>1</sup>Dyveke T. Demant, <sup>2</sup>Karen Lund, <sup>2</sup>Nanna B. Finnerup, <sup>3</sup>Jan Vollert, <sup>3</sup>Christoph Maier, <sup>4,5</sup>Märtha S. Segerdahl, <sup>2</sup>Troels S. Jensen, <sup>1</sup>Søren H. Sindrup

#### **Responders profiles : patients with preserved nociceptive function**

### Higher effect in pain paroxysms and deep aching pain





#### Key messages

1. Pharmacological treatment has a main role in the treatment of NeP (but the analgesic effect of monotherapy is limited);

2. Antidepressants (TCA's, SSNRI's) and gabapentinoids are first line drugs in the treatment of NeP, followed by opioids and other drugs used for localized NeP such as botulinum toxin, lidocaine or high concentration capsaicin;

3. In general, drugs used for NeP as monotherapy have a relatively low efficacy (high NNT) and a large proportion of patients remain symptomatic despite its use. Also, trials on some specific NeP pain syndromes are scarce (eg., post-chemotherapy painful polyneuropathy) or have provided mainly negative results (eg., central post-stroke pain);

4. Combination therapy has been proposed to increase the analgesic effect of treatment with a lower side-effect profile by associating drugs with different mechanisms of action;

5. The rationale for a mechanism-based treatment of NeP has been put forward a few decades ago, and has been endorsed by *post-hoc* analyses of several trials and by recent studies.







## References

- Finnerup, Nanna B; Attal, Nadine; Haroutounian, Simon; McNicol, Ewan; Baron, Ralf; Dworkin, Robert H; Gilron, Ian; Haanpää, Maija; Hansson, Per; Jensen, Troels S; Kamerman, Peter R; Lund, Karen; Moore, Andrew; Raja, Srinivasa N; Rice, Andrew S C; Rowbotham, Michael; Sena, Emily; Siddall, Philip; Smith, Blair H; Wallace, Mark. Pharmacotherapy for neuropathic pain in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Neurology, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2015, p. 162-173.
- Gilron I, Jensen TS, Dickenson AH. Combination pharmacotherapy for management of chronic pain: from bench to bedside. Lancet Neurol. 2013 Nov;12(11):1084-95. Attal N, Bouhassira D, Baron R, Dostrovsky J, Dworkin RH, Finnerup N, Gourlay G, Haanpaa M, Raja S, Rice AS, Simpson D, Treede RD. Assessing symptom profiles in neuropathic pain clinical trials: can it improve outcome? Eur J Pain. 2011 May;15(5):441-3.
- 3. Bouhassira D, Attal N. Diagnosis and assessment of neuropathic pain: the saga of clinical tools. Pain. 2011 Mar;152(3 Suppl):S74-83.
- Haanpää M, Attal N, Backonja M, Baron R, Bennett M, Bouhassira D, Cruccu G, Hansson P, Haythornthwaite JA, Iannetti GD, Jensen TS, Kauppila T, Nurmikko TJ,Rice AS, Rowbotham M, Serra J, Sommer C, Smith BH, Treede RD. NeuPSIG guidelines on neuropathic pain assessment. Pain. 2011 Jan;152(1):14-27.
- 5. Attal N, Cruccu G, Baron R, Haanpää M, Hansson P, Jensen TS, Nurmikko T; European Federation of Neurological Societies. EFNS guidelines on the pharmacological treatment of neuropathic pain: 2010 revision. Eur J Neurol. 2010 Sep;17(9):1113-e88.



### Santiago, Chile, October 31 - November 5, 2015



#### Acknowledgements

Staff from the Pain Center, Department of Neurology University of São Paulo, Brazil

#### Manoel J Teixeira

Dra Lin T Yeng Ricardo Galhardoni Rubens G. Cury Irina Raicher Fernanda Valério Luiz Henrique Dourado Egberto Reis Barbosa Victor Barboza Irina Raicher José Tadeu Siqueira Silvia R D T de Siqueira Patrick Stump **Erich Fonoff** Alexandra Zandonai Luciana Bahia Daniella Parravano Paula B. Mileno Natalia Scisci Valquiria Silva Antonia Rodrigues

#### France

Didier Bouhassira Nadine Attal Jean-Pascal Lefaucheur Luis Garcia-Larrea



