

Neuropsychological rehabilitation

Stephanie Clarke Claire Bindschaedler Sonia Crottaz-Herbette

Service de Neuropsychologie et de Neuroréhabilitation, CHUV Lausanne, Switzerland

Stephanie.Clarke@chuv.ch

Disclosure

Own research described here was financed by the Swiss National Science Foundation: grants to S. Clarke and S. Crottaz-Herbette

No other financial support





Learning objectives

- How to conceive of hemispatial neglect in terms of cognitive models and their impact of rehabilitation
- **Diagnostic tools for neglect**
- **Anatomo-clinical correlations**
- Hemineglect = a modular deficit
- Recovery
- **Rehabilitation**
 - Cognitive models Effectiveness





Key message - I

Over the last two decades cognitive neuroscience brought new insights to several fields of high clinical relevance. There is a general consensus that stroke rehabilitation benefits from this development (Clarke et al. 2015). We will outline how recent developments influence the rehabilitation of cognitive functions on the example of left unilateral neglect, which is a complex clinical syndrome (Clarke and Bindschaedler 2014). As demonstrated in seminal studies neglect is a modular deficit, which can affect different aspects of visual, auditory, somatosensory and motor processing. Clinical studies have well demonstrated that the presence of neglect beyond the acute stage is generally associated with poor outcome in terms of independence...





Key message - II

We will review current evidence, which strongly suggests that neglect rehabilitation leads to better outcome. Significant improvement has been documented in prospective randomised studies for several therapeutic interventions, including combined training of visual scanning, reading, copying and figure description; trunk orientation plus visual scanning training; neck muscle vibration plus visual exploration training; visual scanning training alone; spatiomotor cueing with limb activation; right hemifield or right eye patching; and prism adaptation training. There is, however, a need for further studies, with activities of daily living as primary outcome and with long-term follow-up. Furthermore, the indications for specific treatments will need to be defined in terms of neglect types.





For further reading see also

Clarke, S., Bindschaedler, C. (2014) Unilateral neglect and anosognosia. In Selzer M. E., Clarke S., Cohen L. G., Kwakkel G., Miller R.H. (eds) Textbook of Neural Repair and Rehabilitation. Volume II, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 463-477.

Clarke S, Bindschaedler C, Crottaz-Herbette S (2015) Impact of cognitive neuroscience on stroke rehabilitation. Stroke 46:1408-13





Neglect rehabilitation

- **Diagnosis**
- **Anatomo-clinical correlations**
- Hemineglect = a modular deficit
- Recovery
- **Rehabilitation**
 - Cognitive models Effectiveness





Diagnosis

Right-hemispheric lesion

Lack or decrease of attention to stimuli and events on the left-hand side

No reaction when spoken to from the left side

Not eating food on the left half of plate

Not shaving left half of face

Not reading left half of the newspaper

More subtle deficits later

Visual, auditory, somatosensory, motor modalities can be affected to varying degree (Barbieri & De Renzi 1989)

Formal testing

Cancelling tests, line bisection, simultaneous visual stimulation, copy, drawing

Dichotic listening

Simultaneous tactile stimulation



Anatomoclinical correlations

Right hemisphere

Brain 1941 McFie et al. 1950

Inferior parietal lobule Vallar & Perani 1986

Frontal lobe; inferior frontal gyrus Heilman & Valenstein 1972 Husain & Kennard 1996

- Thalamus Watson & Heilman 1979 Watson et al. 1981
- Basal ganglia
 Damasio et al. 1980
 Healton et al. 1982
 Hier et al. 1977
- Internal capsula
 Ferro & Kertesz 1984
- Territory of a. choroidalis ant Masson et al. 1983 Bogousslavsky et al. 1988 De la Sayette et al. 1995





Lesions associated with chronic neglect

Key role of the <u>temporal</u> convexity: below Additional evidence for the role of <u>frontal</u> convexity and <u>basal ganglia (Maguire & Ogden 2002; Farne et al. 2004)</u>



Hemineglect = a modular deficit

Dissociation between sensory modalities Double dissociations within visuo-spatial neglect

- Near vs far space
 - Cowey et al. 1994
 - Beschin & Robertson 1997
 - Guariglia & Antonucci 1992
- **Perception vs visual imagery**
 - Anderson 1993
 - Coslett 1997
 - Guariglio et al. 1993
- **Global vs local aspects**
 - Marshall & Halligan 1995
- **Viewer-centred vs stimulus-centred**
 - Hillis & Caramazza 1995
 - Ota et al. 2001
 - Hillis et al. 2005
 - March & Hillis 2007



Two types of auditory neglect

Attentional bias

Attentional deficit for left hemispace

Without deficits in sound localisation

Lesions centred on basal ganglia

Distortion of auditory spatial representation

- Alloacusis, ipsilesional biais in auditory localisation
- No attentional differences between left and right hemispace
- Parieto-frontal lesions

What

Where

Bellmann, Meuli, Clarke, Brain 2001 Clarke et al., Cortex 2004 Spierer et al., Neuropsychologia 2007







Rehabilitation interventions for neglect

Attend to the left

- Visual scanning training
- Visuo-spatio-motor cueing
- **Kinetic stimuli**
- Video training
- Forced use of left visual hemifield or left eye
- TMS or tDCS to left hemisphere
- > Training of sustained attention, alertness
- Multisensory representations
 - Vestibular stimulation (caloric or galvanic)
 - Vibration stimulation of neck muscles
 - **Transcutaneous electrical stimulation of neck muscles**
 - Trunc orientation
 - **Prism adaptation**
- Medication







Cognitive and neurobiological models which will be discussed

- **Dorsal and ventral attentional networks**
- Different role of each hemisphere for spatial attention
- **Role of arousal and phasic attention**
- **Breakdown of interhemispheric inhibition**
- Hyperexcitability of the intact, left hemisphere



Disturbance of multisensory representations





Cognitive models of neglect

Dorsal attentional network Voluntary orienting of attention superior parietal lobule & intraparietal sulcus

<u>Ventral attentional network</u> reorienting to behaviourally relevant targets

Inf. parietal lobule & superior temporal gyrus (temporoparietal junction)



Effects of damage to ventral attentional network





(a) healthy brain, visual search is symmetric interhemispheric interactions between left and right dorsal attention and visual occipital areas = balanced. shifts of attention and eye movements contralaterally

(b) patient with a ventral stroke with direct damage to ventral regions reduction of arousal, target detection, and reorienting = a bilateral visual field impairment

abnormal ventral-to-dorsal interactions = an interhemispheric imbalance in the dorsal attention network and visual cortex

= leading to tonic and task-dependent rightward spatial biases in attention, eye movements, and stimulus salience.



In normal subjects a single, brief PA session enhances the representation of the left visual space within the left inferior parietal cortex.

Changes during detection & WM tasks: after vs before a brief PA exposure





Cognitive models of neglect

Dorsal attentional network Voluntary orienting of attention

superior parietal lobule & intraparietal sulcus

<u>Ventral attentional network</u> reorienting to behaviourally relevant targets

Inf. parietal lobule & superior temporal gyrus (temporoparietal junction)



Effectiveness of theurapeutic interventions in neglect: meta-analyses

Cognitive rehabilitation for spatial neglect following stroke Bowen, Hazelton, Pollock, Lincoln Cochrane collaboration, 2013

Fasotti and van Kessel 2013 Novel insights in the rehabilitation of neglect

Müri et al 2013 Non-invasive brain stimulation in neglect rehabilitation: an update





Effectiveness of theurapeutic interventions in neglect

Cognitive rehabilitation for spatial neglect following stroke Bowen, Hazelton, Pollock, Lincoln Cochrane collaboration, 2013

Authors' conclusions:

- Effectiveness of cognitive rehabilitation interventions for reducing the disabling effects of neglect and increasing independence remains unproven.
- No rehabilitation approach can be supported or refuted based on current evidence
- Limited evidence for immediate effect of cognitive rehabilitation on tests of neglect.
- Necessity for high quality methodological design and reporting of future studies



Patients with neglect should continue to receive general stroke rehabilitation and to have opportunity to participate in high quality studies.





To increase the impact of rehabilitation interventions it is necessary to refine the indications for therapeutic interventions in neglect

For detailled discussion: Clarke, Bindschaedler, Crottaz-Herbette 2015 Stroke

Not all patients respond equally well to one or other therapeutic intervention. > To identify correctly indications for specific approaches

- > Who are the responders to a specific intervention?
- > To understand the mechanisms underlying the effects of the intervention



To design studies with therapeutic interventions which take into account the type of neglect

- > To enroll only a specific type of neglect (A, B, ..) in a trial with a given intervention
- To use a probe to identify good responders



Muil

Conclusions

- Need for large-scale randomized controlled trials, which take into account long-term outcome in terms of activities of daily living and of social and professional integration
- Need for better integration of cognitive models: design of interventions, indications, design of studies
- Need to refine indications for specific therapeutic interventions by identifying responder profiles.







SAVE THE DA 10-13 May, Philadelphia 2016



/w.wcnr2016.org AMERICAN SOCIETY OF

World Federation for NeuroRehabilitation W F N R

FROM SCIENCE TO SOCIETY